Management of refractory idiopathic overactive bladder: intradetrusor injection of botulinum toxin type A versus posterior tibial nerve stimulation

Hammouda Sherif, MD, Mostafa Khalil, MD, Rabea Omar, MD Department of Urology, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University, Egypt

SHERIF H, KHALIL M, OMAR R. Management of refractory idiopathic overactive bladder: intradetrusor injection of botulinum toxin type A versus posterior tibial nerve stimulation. *Can J Urol* 2017;24(3):8838-8846.

Introduction: To compare the safety and efficacy of posterior tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) versus an intradetrusor injection of botulinum toxin type-A (BTX-A) 100 U in the management of refractory idiopathic overactive bladder (OAB).

Materials and methods: We randomized 60 patients with refractory idiopathic OAB to receive an intradetrusor injection of BTX-A 100 U or PTNS. We assessed the patients at baseline, 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and 9 months, and determined their clinical symptoms, overall OAB symptom score, urgency score, quality-of-life score, and urodynamic study parameters.

Results: The two patient groups had similar baseline characteristics. After treatment, the patients in the

Introduction

Overactive bladder (OAB) is defined as frequency, nocturia, and urgency (with or without urinary incontinence) in the absence of a urinary tract infection (UTI).¹ Idiopathic OAB is highly prevalent and can be difficult to treat.² Many therapeutic options are available to control symptoms, but if OAB is refractory to these therapies, it is important for clinicians to be aware of other treatment options.³ Initial patient management BTX-A group had significant improvements in all parameters compared to their baseline values. Patients in the PTNS group initially had significant improvements in all parameters, but by 9 months, this was no longer true for most parameters. In general, the improvements were more significant in the BTX group, especially at 9 months. In the BTX-A group, two patients (6.6%) needed clean intermittent catheterization; 3 patients (2 women and 1 man; 10% of patients) had mild hematuria, and 2 patients (6.6%) had urinary tract infections (UTIs). In the PTNS group, local adverse effects included minor bleeding spots and temporary pain.

Conclusions: Intradetrusor injection of BTX-A and PTNS are both effective to manage refractory idiopathic OAB. BTX-A is more effective than PTNS and is also durable, minimally invasive, reversible, and safe, but it also has more side effects.

Key Words: overactive bladder, botulinum toxin, posterior tibial nerve stimulation

consists of giving an anticholinergic medication for at least 3 months. If symptoms fail to improve or if the patient is intolerant to medications, other treatment options include neuromodulation and intradetrusor injections of botulinum toxin type A (BTX-A).4 BTX-A was introduced in Germany in 1998 as a minimally invasive procedure, and it is now an accepted treatment worldwide.⁵ BTX-A was approved to treat adults with overactive bladder by the European Union in 2011 and by the US Food and Drug Administration (FDA) in January 2013.6 The mechanism of action of BTX-A in the urinary bladder has been extensively described.^{3,7} In placebo-controlled randomized clinical trials, BTX-A resulted in a significant improvement of symptoms in 50% to 68% of women with idiopathic refractory OAB at 3-6 months.8,9

Accepted for publication February 2017

Address correspondence to Dr. Mostafa Khall, Department of Urology, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University, Egypt

Management of refractory idiopathic overactive bladder: intradetrusor injection of botulinum toxin type A versus posterior tibial nerve stimulation

Inhibition of detrusor activity by posterior tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) was first described by McGuire et al¹⁰ and in 2000 it was approved by the FDA for the treatment of refractory OAB.¹¹ The posterior tibial nerve arises from spinal roots L4-S3, and these roots carry sensory and motor fibers that innervate the bladder and pelvic floor as well as the urinary sphincter.¹² PTNS is a peripheral nerve stimulation method that involves inserting a needle electrode proximal to the medial malleolus near the posterior tibial nerve. The exact mechanism of action of PTNS is unknown, but it may involve modulation of the nervous system or blood flow.^{13,14} There is limited evidence directly comparing the efficacy of PTNS versus BTX-A to treat idiopathic OAB. This study aimed to compare these two treatments for patients with refractory idiopathic OAB.

Materials and methods

Objectives

This was a prospective randomized study to compare the safety and efficacy of an intradetrusor injection of BTX-A 100 U versus PTNS for patients with refractory idiopathic OAB.

Study design

We enrolled 60 patients with idiopathic OAB who presented to the Urology Department at Benha University Hospital from May 2013 to November 2015. The patients had idiopathic OAB symptoms and were 18 years old or older and had not responded to or were intolerant to 3 months of medical therapy with different antimuscarinic agents (alone or in combination). Patients were excluded from the study if they had nerve damage that might affect the function of the posterior tibial nerve or the pelvic floor, or if they were pregnant or planning to become pregnant, or if they had a pacemaker, an implantable defibrillator, current UTI, uncorrectable coagulopathies, or a bladder outlet obstruction, a neurogenic bladder, or a post void residual urine volume >150 mL. They were also excluded if they had previous radiotherapy or antineoplastic treatment, incontinence surgery, or bladder malignancy, or if they were taking a medication (eg, an aminoglycoside) that might interfere with transmission at the neuromuscular junction, or if they had mixed incontinence. The patients signed an informed consent form and the study was approved by the Research Ethics Committee, Faculty of Medicine, Benha University. Additional use of anticholinergics was not allowed during the study period. Patients were randomized to receive intradetrusor injections of BTX-A 100 U or to undergo PTNS.

Assessment

At baseline, we obtained a patient history and patients had a physical examination, laboratory tests (including a urinalysis and urine culture and sensitivity test), an x-ray of the kidneys, ureters, and bladder, and a pelvic abdominal ultrasound. The patients filled in a bladder-voiding diary for 3 days, which provided information about urinary frequency, urgency, nocturia, and urinary incontinence. The patients also replied to a questionnaire that provided an OAB symptoms score, which has been validated by Blaivas et al.¹⁵ The questionnaire includes 7 questions, for a total score of 0 to 28. Questions 3 to 6 were used to determine an urgency score (from 0 to16), and question 7 was used to determine a quality-of-life score (from 0 to 4). These assessments were repeated at 6 weeks, 3 months, 6 months, and 9 months. The patients had urodynamic parameters determined at baseline and 3 months and 9 months.

BTX-A injection technique

BTX-A (Allergan, Irvine, CA, USA) was prepared by dissolving 100 U into 10 mL of saline. Injection was performed by cystoscopy using a 30-degree lens, in 20 sites (0.5 mL at each site), with a 6 Fr disposable injection needle (Amecath Company, Egypt) under spinal anesthesia. The bladder injection sites at the anterior, left lateral, right lateral, posterior walls, and the trigon were determined after mapping the bladder. At the end of the procedure, a catheter was inserted in the bladder for 24 h. The procedure was carried out under antibiotic prophylaxis (ciprofloxacin 500 mg tablet twice daily for 5 days). If the post-void residual urine volume was greater than 200 mL at any follow up visit, the patient was instructed to perform clean intermittent self-catheterization.

PTNS technique

PTNS was given as a 30-minute session, once a week for 12 consecutive weeks. The nerve was stimulated using a 0.22 mm needle electrode inserted approximately 5 cm cephalic to the medial malleolus and slightly posterior to the tibia. The needle was connected to the Urgent PC stimulator, and the current was increased from 0.5 mA to 9 mA until the patient reported sensation or experienced a muscle response. The frequency was 20 Hz. The response that was sought was a plantar flexion of the great toe.¹⁶

Statistical analyses

Categorical data were expressed as number and percent, and quantitative data were expressed as mean ± standard deviation. SPSS version 20 software was

TABLE 1. Patient characteristics							
Variable	BTX group	PTNS group	p value				
Mean age (years)	45.6 ± 7.8	45.1 ± 10.1	0.524				
Sex Male: no. (%) Female: no. (%)	5 (16.7) 25 (83.3)	4 (13.3) 26 (86.7)	0.718				
Body mass index Mean duration of treatment by anticholinergics (weeks)	36.8 ± 4.9 13.3 ± 0.9	36 ± 6.2 13.06 ± 0.8	0.583 0.397				
BTX = botulinum toxin; PTNS = poster	ior tibial nerve stimula	tion					

used for the statistical analyses and between-group comparisons were made using the chi-square test, the Fisher exact test, and the student t test. P values were considered significant if < 0.05.

Results

The 30 patients in the BTX-A group and the 30 patients in the PTNS group had similar baseline characteristics, as shown in Table 1.

Within - group analysis

Compared to their baseline values, patients in the

BTX-A group had significant improvements in OAB symptom score, urgency score, and quality-of-life score, as shown in Table 2, and they also had significant improvements in their clinical symptoms, as shown in Table 3. They also had significant improvements in urodynamic study parameters at 3 months and 9 months, as shown in Table 4.

Patients in the PTNS group had significant improvements in OAB symptom score and urgency score at 6 months comparted to baseline, but the improvements were not significant at 9 months. However, they had a significant improvement in quality-of-life that persisted until 9 months.

TABLE 2.	Changes in	overall	OABSS,	urgency	scale and	QoL
----------	------------	---------	--------	---------	-----------	-----

No.	BTX group	No.	PTNS group	p value		
30	21.7 ± 3.03	30	21.5 ± 2.5	0.748		
30	$13.5 \pm 2.8^{*}$	30	$15.4 \pm 2.7^{*}$	0.011Δ		
30	$14.4 \pm 2.4^{*}$	30	$14.7 \pm 1.9^{*}$	0.666		
30	$17.6 \pm 1.8^{*}$	29	$18.4 \pm 1.9^{*}$	0.108		
29	$19.2 \pm 2.4^{*}$	28	20.4 ± 1.7	0.026Δ		
30	12.6 ± 1.06	30	12.5 ± 1.3	0.833		
30	$7.7 \pm 1.7^{*}$	30	$8.7 \pm 1.6^{*}$	0.029Δ		
30	$8.2 \pm 1.5^{*}$	30	$8.6 \pm 1.5^{*}$	0.356		
30	$10.1 \pm 1.07^{*}$	29	$10.6 \pm 0.8^{*}$	0.037		
29	$10.9 \pm 1.3^{*}$	28	11.8 ± 1.4	0.009Δ		
30	3.1 ± 0.7	30	3.06 ± 0.8	0.742		
30	$1.9 \pm 0.7^{*}$	30	$2.2 \pm 0.6^{*}$	0.033Δ		
30	$2.06 \pm 0.6^{*}$	30	$2.1 \pm 0.6^{*}$	0.550		
30	$2.5 \pm 0.7^{*}$	29	$2.6 \pm 0.7^{*}$	0.477		
29	$2.6\pm0.6^*$	28	$2.9\pm0.6^*$	0.049Δ		
	No. 30 30 30 29 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30 30	No.BTX group30 21.7 ± 3.03 30 $13.5 \pm 2.8^*$ 30 $14.4 \pm 2.4^*$ 30 $17.6 \pm 1.8^*$ 29 $19.2 \pm 2.4^*$ 30 12.6 ± 1.06 30 $7.7 \pm 1.7^*$ 30 $8.2 \pm 1.5^*$ 30 $10.1 \pm 1.07^*$ 29 $10.9 \pm 1.3^*$ 30 3.1 ± 0.7 30 $2.06 \pm 0.6^*$ 30 $2.5 \pm 0.7^*$ 29 $2.6 \pm 0.6^*$	No.BTX groupNo.30 21.7 ± 3.03 3030 $13.5 \pm 2.8^*$ 3030 $14.4 \pm 2.4^*$ 3030 $17.6 \pm 1.8^*$ 2929 $19.2 \pm 2.4^*$ 2830 $7.7 \pm 1.7^*$ 3030 $8.2 \pm 1.5^*$ 3030 $10.1 \pm 1.07^*$ 2929 $10.9 \pm 1.3^*$ 2830 3.1 ± 0.7 3030 $2.06 \pm 0.6^*$ 3030 $2.5 \pm 0.7^*$ 2929 $2.6 \pm 0.6^*$ 28	No.BTX groupNo.PTNS group30 21.7 ± 3.03 30 21.5 ± 2.5 30 $13.5 \pm 2.8^*$ 30 $15.4 \pm 2.7^*$ 30 $14.4 \pm 2.4^*$ 30 $14.7 \pm 1.9^*$ 30 $17.6 \pm 1.8^*$ 29 $18.4 \pm 1.9^*$ 29 $19.2 \pm 2.4^*$ 28 20.4 ± 1.7 30 12.6 ± 1.06 30 12.5 ± 1.3 30 $7.7 \pm 1.7^*$ 30 $8.7 \pm 1.6^*$ 30 $10.1 \pm 1.07^*$ 29 $10.6 \pm 0.8^*$ 29 $10.9 \pm 1.3^*$ 28 11.8 ± 1.4 30 3.1 ± 0.7 30 3.06 ± 0.8 30 $1.9 \pm 0.7^*$ 30 $2.2 \pm 0.6^*$ 30 $2.5 \pm 0.7^*$ 29 $2.6 \pm 0.7^*$ 29 $2.6 \pm 0.6^*$ 28 $2.9 \pm 0.6^*$	No.BTX groupNo.PTNS groupp value 30 21.7 ± 3.03 30 21.5 ± 2.5 0.748 30 $13.5 \pm 2.8^*$ 30 $15.4 \pm 2.7^*$ 0.011Δ 30 $14.4 \pm 2.4^*$ 30 $14.7 \pm 1.9^*$ 0.666 30 $17.6 \pm 1.8^*$ 29 $18.4 \pm 1.9^*$ 0.108 29 $19.2 \pm 2.4^*$ 28 20.4 ± 1.7 0.026Δ 30 12.6 ± 1.06 30 12.5 ± 1.3 0.833 30 $7.7 \pm 1.7^*$ 30 $8.7 \pm 1.6^*$ 0.029Δ 30 $8.2 \pm 1.5^*$ 30 $8.6 \pm 1.5^*$ 0.356 30 $10.1 \pm 1.07^*$ 29 $10.6 \pm 0.8^*$ 0.037 29 $10.9 \pm 1.3^*$ 28 11.8 ± 1.4 0.009Δ 30 3.1 ± 0.7 30 3.06 ± 0.8 0.742 30 $2.06 \pm 0.6^*$ 30 $2.1 \pm 0.6^*$ 0.33Δ 30 $2.06 \pm 0.6^*$ 28 $2.9 \pm 0.6^*$ 0.477	

*significant difference to the base line; ∆significant difference between groups

BTX = botulinum toxin; PTNS = posterior tibial nerve stimulation; OABSS = overactive bladder symptom score

Management of refractory idiopathic overactive bladder: intradetrusor injection of botulinum toxin type A versus posterior tibial nerve stimulation

TABLE 3. Changes in clinical symptoms							
	No	BTX group	No	PTNS group	p value		
Frequency							
Base line	30	12.7 ± 0.9	30	12.2 ± 1.2	0.105		
At 6 weeks	30	$5.7 \pm 1.1^{*}$	30	$6.1 \pm 0.9^{*}$	0.150		
At 3 months	30	$6.4 \pm 1.04^{*}$	30	$6.9 \pm 0.8^{*}$	0.060		
At 6 months	30	$8.1 \pm 1.2^{*}$	29	$8.9 \pm 1.6^{*}$	0.036Δ		
At 9 months	29	$10.7 \pm 1.01^{*}$	28	11.6 ± 1.09	0.002Δ		
Nocturia							
Base line	30	5.2 ± 0.9	30	4.8 ± 0.9	0.143		
At 6 weeks	30	$1.8 \pm 0.7^{*}$	30	$2.2 \pm 0.7^{*}$	0.064		
At 3 months	30	$2.5\pm0.6^*$	30	$2.8 \pm 0.7^{*}$	0.063		
At 6 months	30	$3.1 \pm 0.7^{*}$	29	$4.4 \ge 0.8^{*}$	0.102		
At 9 months	29	$3.8 \pm 1.09^{*}$	28	4.4 ± 0.8	0.024Δ		
Leaking episodes	5						
Base line	30	4.3 ± 1.06	30	4.7 ± 1.02	0.178		
At 6 weeks	30	$1.8 \pm 0.7^{*}$	30	$2.2 \pm 0.7^{*}$	0.040Δ		
At 3 months	30	$2.4 \pm 0.7^{*}$	30	$2.6 \pm 0.7^{*}$	0.287		
At 6 months	30	$3.06 \pm 0.5^{*}$	29	$3.3 \pm 0.6^{*}$	0.112		
At 9 months	29	$3.5 \pm 1.2^{*}$	28	4.2 ± 1.04	0.020Δ		
PVR urine (mL)							
Base line	30	30.6 ± 3.6	30	31.7 ± 3.7	0.225		
At 6 weeks	30	$45.06 \pm 3.7^*$	30	$34.5 \pm 3.8^*$	0.0001Δ		
At 3 months	30	$42.4 \pm 2.04^{*}$	30	$33.9 \pm 2.3^*$	0.0001Δ		
At 6 months	30	$39.1 \pm 2.4^*$	29	33.1 ± 2.6	0.0001Δ		
At 9 months	29	$36.8 \pm 2.7^{*}$	28	32.4 ± 3.04	0.0001Δ		
*-:	en te the beer	1:					

significant difference to the base line; Δ significant difference between groups

BTX = botulinum toxin; PTNS = posterior tibial nerve stimulation; PVR = post void residual

Compared to baseline, the improvements in frequency, urgency, and leaking episodes were significant up to 6 months and the improvement in post-void residual urine volume was significant up to 3 months. There were significant improvements for urine volume at strong desire, and intravesical pressure at 3 and 9 months; whereas the improvement in urine volume at first desire, maximum cystometric capacity, and maximum uroflowmetry was only significant at 3 months.

Between - group analysis

Compared to the PTNS group, patients in the BTX-A group had a significantly greater improvement in frequency at 6 months and 9 months. They also had a greater improvement in nocturia and leaking episodes, with significant differences in nocturia at 9 months and in leaking episodes at 6 weeks and 6 months. The post-void residual urine volume was significantly larger in the BTX group at all follow up times, as shown in Table 3.

The improvement in OAB symptom score, urgency score, and quality-of-life score was greater in the BTX group than in PTNS group, and this difference was significant at 6 weeks and 9 months, as shown in Table 2.

The improvements in the urodynamic study parameters were all significantly greater in the BTX group at 3 months and 9 months, except for urine volume at strong desire, which was not significantly greater at at 3 months.

The study is summarized in the flow diagram, shown in Figure 1.

Adverse events

There were significant differences in the mean postvoid residual urine volume after BTX-A throughout the study, but clean intermittent catheterization was only needed only in 2 patients (6.6%) who had a post-void residual urine volume > 200 mL. Early postoperative mild hematuria was observed in 3



Figure 1. Patient flow diagram.

patients (2 women and 1 man; 10% of patients) after BTX-A. UTI occurred in 2 patients (6.6%). In the PTNS group, local adverse events included minor bleeding spots and a temporary painful sensation.

Discussion

Refractory OAB is a complex, chronic medical problem with deleterious effects on the physical,

Management of refractory idiopathic overactive bladder: intradetrusor injection of botulinum toxin type A versus posterior tibial nerve stimulation

TABLE 4. Urodynamic changes							
Variable	No	BTX group	No	PTNS group	p value		
Urine volume at	first desire (mL)					
Base line	30	164.2 ± 10.7	30	168.03 ± 7.7	0.119		
At 3 months	30	$280.8 \pm 12.5^*$	30	$274.7 \pm 13.1^*$	0.068Δ		
At 9 months	29	$177.8 \pm 9.2^{*}$	28	171.8 ± 7.7	0.008Δ		
Urine volume at	strong desir	re (mL)					
Base line	30	248.1 ± 19.9	30	254.5 ± 18.2	0.205		
At 3 months	30	$383.3 \pm 8.5^*$	30	$379.3 \pm 7.8^*$	0.064		
At 9 months	29	$307.2 \pm 16.3^*$	28	$296.8 \pm 14.8^*$	0.012Δ		
Intravesical pres	sure (cm H ₂ 0	C)					
Base line	30	32.2 ± 1.4	30	31.7 ± 1.6	0.166		
At 3 months	30	$11.2 \pm 0.8^{*}$	30	$12.1 \pm 1.07^*$	0.001Δ		
At 9 months	29	$20.7 \pm 1.3^{*}$	28	$21.9 \pm 1.4^{*}$	0.001Δ		
Maximum cystor	netric capac	ity (mL)					
Base line	30	277.3 v± 14.3	30	283.6 ± 12.3	0.071		
At 3 months	30	$423 \pm 19.3^{*}$	30	$406.3 \pm 22.1^*$	0.003Δ		
At 9 months	29	$304.6 \pm 17.6^*$	28	290 ± 13.1	0.001Δ		
Qmax (mL/sec)							
Base line	30	23.1 ± 2.5	30	22.7 ± 2.3	0.523		
At 3 months	30	$19.4 \pm 1.5^{*}$	30	$20.2 \pm 1.3^{*}$	0.042Δ		
At 9 months	29	$20.7\pm1.6^*$	28	22.2 ± 1.2	0.001Δ		

*significant difference to the base line; ∆significant difference between groups

BTX = botulinum toxin; PTNS = posterior tibial nerve stimulation

psychological, social, financial, and sexual aspects of life.¹⁷ Traditional effective treatments include behavioral therapy, pharmacotherapy, PTNS, sacral neuromodulation, intradetrusor injection of BTX-A, and bladder augmentation.¹⁸ Patients should select the best treatment that fits their needs.7 PTNS4 and intradetrusor injection of BTX-A 100 U^{4,19} have been recommended as a third-line therapy for patients who have OAB.

However, to our knowledge, this is the first prospective, randomized study comparing the safety and efficacy of intradetrusor injection of BTX-A 100 U versus PTNS in the management of refractory idiopathic overactive bladder.

We found a significant improvement from baseline in overall OAB symptom score, urgency score, qualityof-life score, and clinical and urodynamic parameters in the BTX-A group, up to 9 months after the injection. Other studies have reported significant reductions in frequency and incontinence episodes^{20,21} and urgency following BTX-A treatment in patients with OAB.^{20,22,23} Symptoms improved up to 6 months and then began to decay, although at 12 months, the symptom levels had not yet returned to baseline.24

In the current study, with PTNS, comparted to baseline values, there was a significant improvement in OAB symptom score, urgency score, frequency, nocturia, and leaking episodes at 6 weeks, 3 months and 6 months. There are many different PTNS protocols (with different numbers of sessions and different session duration), and no studies have been performed to identify the best modalities. Success rates for PTNS range from 37% to 93%.^{11,25} Some studies have reported PTNS is efficacy for treating urinary frequency, urgency urinary incontinence,^{11,34} and improving post-void residual urine volumes volumes²⁶ but limited efficacy for treating nocturia and urgency.²⁷ Other studies have reported improvements in voiding parameters and quality-of-life outcomes after 5 to 12 weeks of treatment.²⁸⁻³⁰ Many authors have established that PTNS significantly improves OAB symptoms. The primary weakness identified by this group of publications is the lack of long term follow up in a randomized design.^{11,25,27,31,32}

Adequate follow up after initial treatment is needed to assess improvements of symptoms and patient satisfaction with treatment. The current study reported a significant improvement at 6 weeks in OAB symptom score, urgency score, quality-of-life score and leaking episodes in favor of the BTX-A group. There were no significant between-group differences for other parameters. At 3 months, results in the two groups were comparable for OAB symptom score, urgency score, and quality-of-life score, and the clinical variables were in favor of the BTX-A group. At 6 months, there was a significant difference in frequency and post-void residual urine volume in the BTX-A group. All parameters were significantly better in the BTX-A group at 9 months, which suggests that this treatment was more durable than PTNS.

Nuhoglu et al³³ evaluated patients 1 year after 10 weeks of Stoller afferent nerve stimulation (SANS) therapy. Without continuous treatment, complaints of urgency urinary incontinence and frequency returned to pretreatment levels. Macdiarmid et al¹⁶ followed 35 patients with OAB who had completed 12 weekly PTNS sessions and were offered 9 more months of treatment. The benefit from PTNS therapy remained stable. The ability to compare patient-reported outcomes across published studies is limited by a lack of standardization due to the development of many different questionnaires to assess OAB symptoms.³⁴ Improved scores were reported on King's Health Questionnaire,³⁵ I-QoL scores,²⁶ IIQ-7 and UDI-6.²²

Urodynamics are a part of the physical examination of patients with refractory OAB, which is the gold standard for detrusor overactivity diagnosis.³⁶ It is important to understand that not every patient with OAB needs urodaynamic studies to determine detrusor overactivity, and it is valuable to use urodynamic studies to evaluate new treatment modalities.³⁷ In our study, urodynamic parameters were only assessed at baseline and 3 months and 9 months after the initial procedure, due to the invasiveness of these studies. At 3 months, there was a significantly greater improvement in favor of BTX-A for all variables except urine volume at strong desire. At 9 months there was a significant improvement in favor of BTX-A for all parameters.

Various studies have reported improvements in a variety of urodynamic parameters.^{22,24} In contrast, Cohen et al reported that no preoperative urodynamic parameter predicted successful treatment with 100-150 U BTX-A for OAB patients.³⁸ Schmid et al reported that all non-responders showed low pretreatment detrusor compliance and maximum bladder capacity less than 100 mL, which was explained due to bladder wall fibrosis.³⁹

In the current study, there were no systemic adverse events in the PTNS group, but that group had local effects in the form of bleeding spots and temporary

painful needle insertion. In general, other studies have not reported any serious adverse events with PTNS. The adverse events included generalized swelling, worsening of incontinence, headache, hematuria, leg cramps, vasovagal response to needle placement, minor bleeding or a temporary pain at insertion site and tingling in the leg.²⁶ On other hand, side effects of BTX-A injection may include gross hematuria, UTI, transient muscle weakness, post-void residual urine volume, and urinary retention.^{22,35} In our study, there was a statistical difference in the mean post-void residual urine volume after BTX-A throughout the study but clean intermittent catheterization was only needed for two patients (6.6%) who had a post-void residual urine volume > 200 mL. Urine retention was not reported in this study. In other studies of BTX-A for OAB, large post-void residual volume has been defined as > 150 mL to > 350 mL, and has been reported to range from 0% to 75%,^{20,40} and the reported need for clean intermittent self catheterization ranges from 0% to 48%.20,41

Reported rates (4%-43%) of urinary retention are the main drawback with BTX-A.^{4,42} A large post-void residual volume, and urine retention remain obstacles for BTX-A use, and no factors that predict these adverse effects have been found.⁴³ Bauer et al⁴⁴ concluded that higher doses of the toxin led to higher adverse event rates. In our study, early postoperative mild hematuria was observed in 3 patients (2 women and 1 man; 10% of patients) after BTX-A, which was related to the procedure and was resolved with conservative treatment, which is similar to what has been reported in the literature.^{8,45}

The other side effect in our study was UTI, which occurred in 2 patients (6.6%) despite prophylactic antibiotic use. These cases were undergoing clean intermittent catheterization and received appropriate antibiotics based on urine culture and sensitivity test results. This agrees with the reported UTI rates in patients with OAB in the literature.^{26,39}

The major limitations of this study were the lack of a randomized control group, the limited number of patients, and the lack of cost data. PTNS requires repeat sessions, possibly for a long time or lifelong. There is a lack of standardization of OAB symptoms due to the development of numerous OAB questionnaires. To our knowledge, there has not been a cost-benefit analysis for these treatments. At our university hospital, the cost is covered by the government, but clearly consultation every week for 12 weeks for PTNS is different from a BTX-A injection every 9 to 12 months, although the costs may be very different.

Nowadays, magnetic PTNS can stimulate the nerve without needles, at home. A device marketed by EM

Management of refractory idiopathic overactive bladder: intradetrusor injection of botulinum toxin type A versus posterior tibial nerve stimulation

Kinetics (Mission Viejo, CA, USA) called the Transtim was reported to achieve improvement in overall bladder symptoms.⁴⁶ Chuang used liposome encapsulated BTX-A as a new approach to reduce its invasiveness.⁴⁷

Conclusions

Intradetrusor BTX-A injections and PTNS are both effective treatments for refractory idiopathic OAB. BTX-A is more effective than PTNS, and is durable, minimally invasive, reversible and safe, but it has more side effects. The optimum number and duration of PTNS sessions remain to be determined.

References

- 1. Haylen BT, de Ridder D, Freeman RM et al. An International Urogynecological Association (IUGA)/International Continence Society (ICS) joint report on the terminology for female pelvic floor dysfunction. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2010;29(1):4-20.
- 2. Irwin DE, Kopp ZS, Milsom I, Abrams P. Worldwide prevalence estimates of lower urinary tract symptoms, overactive bladder, urinary incontinence and bladder outlet obstruction. *BJU Int* 2011;108(7):1132-1138.
- 3. Jeppson P. Treatment of refractory non neurogenic (idiopathic) overactive bladder. *Postgraduate Obstetrics & Gynecology* 2014; 34(10):1-6.
- Gormley EA, Lightner DJ, Faraday M, Vasavada SP. Diagnosis and treatment of overactive bladder (non-neurogenic) in adults: AUA/SUFU guideline amendment. J Urol 2015;193(5):1572-1580.
- 5. Stohrer M, Wolff A, Kramer G et al. Seven years of botulinum toxin type A in the treatment of neurogenic detrusor hyperactivity. *Urologe A* 2007;46(9):1211-1218.
- Farhan B, Ghoniem G. Clinical pathways of third-line treatment of overactive bladder in the elderly. *Curr Bladder Dysfunct Rep* 2015;10(4):381-390.
- Tubaro A, Puccini F, De Nunzio C. The management of overactive bladder: percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation, sacral nerve stimulation, or botulinum toxin? *Curr Opin Urol* 2015;25(4):305-310.
- Chapple C, Sievert KD, MacDiarmid S et al. OnabotulinumtoxinA 100 U significantly improves all idiopathic overactive bladder symptoms and quality of life in patients with overactive bladder and urinary incontinence: a randomised, double-blind, placebocontrolled trial. *Eur Urol* 2013;64(2):249-256.
- 9. Nitti VW, Dmochowski R, Herschorn S et al. OnabotulinumtoxinA for the treatment of patients with overactive bladder and urinary incontinence: results of a phase 3, randomized, placebo controlled trial. *J Urol* 2013;189(6):2186-2193.
- 10. McGuire EJ, Zhang SC, Horwinski ER, Lytton B. Treatment of motor and sensory detrusor instability by electric stimulation. *J Urol* 1983;129(1):78-79.
- 11. Burton C, Sajja A, Latthe PM. Effectiveness of percutaneous posterior tibial nerve stimulation for overactive bladder: a systematic review and metaanalysis. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2012;31(8): 1206-1216.
- Burks FN, Bui DT, Peters KM. Neuromodulation and the neurogenic bladder. Urol Clin North Am 2010;37(4):559-565.
- Cooperberg MR, Stoller ML. Percutaneous neuromodulation. Urol Clin North Am 2005;32(1):71-78.

- 14. Wang Y, Hassouna MM. Neuromodulation reduces c-fos gene expression in spinalized rats: a double-blind randomized study. *J Urol* 2000;163(6):1966-1970.
- Blavias JG, Panagopoulos G, Weiss JP, Somaroo C. Validation of the overactive bladder symptom score. J Urol 2007;178(2):543-547.
- MacDiarmid SA, Peters KM, Shobeiri SA et al. Long-term durability of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation for the treatment of overactive bladder. J Urol 2010;183(1):234-240.
- 17. Novara G, Artibani W, Barber MD et al. Updated systematic review and meta-analysis of the comparative data on colposuspensions, pubovaginal slings, and midurethral tapes in the surgical treatment of female stress urinary incontinence. *Eur Urol* 2010;58(2):218-238.
- 18. Starkman J, Smith C, Staskin D. Surgical options for drug-refractory overactive bladder patients. *Rev Urol* 2010;12 (2-3):e97-e110.
- 19. Sievert KD, Chapple C, Herschorn S et al. OnabotulinumtoxinA 100U provides significant improvements in overactive bladder symptoms in patients with urinary incontinence regardless of the number of anticholinergic therapies used or reason for inadequate management of overactive bladder. *Int J Clin Pract* 2014;68(10):1246-1256.
- 20. Kuo HC. Bladder base/trigone injection is safe and as effective as bladder body injection of onabotulinumtoxinA for idiopathic detrusor overactivity refractory to antimuscarinics. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2011;30(7):1242-1248.
- 21. Denys P. Le Normand L. Ghout I et al. Efficacy and safety of low doses of onabotulinumtoxinA for the treatment of refractory idiopathic overactive bladder: a multicentre, double-blind, randomised, placebocontrolled dose-ranging study. *Eur Urol* 2012;61(3):520-529.
- 22. Sahai A, Khan MS, Dasgupta P. Efficacy of botulinum toxin-A for treating idiopathic detrusor overactivity: results from a single center, randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. *J Urol* 2007;177(6):2231-2236.
- 23. Rovner E, Kennelly M, Schulte-Baukloh H et al. Urodynamic results and clinical outcomes with intradetrusor injections of onabotulinumtoxinA in a randomized, placebo-controlled dosefinding study in idiopathic overactive bladder. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2011;30(4):556-562.
- 24. Granese R, Adile G, Gugliotta G et al. Botox for idiopathic overactive bladder: efficacy, duration and safety. Effectiveness of subsequent injection. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2012;286(4):923-929.
- 25. Gaziev G, Topazio L, Iacovelli V et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation (PTNS) efficacy in the treatment of lower urinary tract dysfunctions: a systematic review. BMC Urol 2013;13:61.
- 26. Finazzi-Agro E, Petta F, Sciobica F et al. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation effects on detrusor overactivity incontinence are not due to a placebo effect: a randomized, double-blind, placebo controlled trial. *J Urol* 2010;184(5):2001-2006.
- 27. Moossdorff-Steinhauser HF, Berghmans B. Effects of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation on adult patients with overactive bladder syndrome: a systematic review. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2013;32(3):206-214.
- 28. Gungor Ugurlucan F, Onal M, Aslan E et al. Comparison of the effects of electrical stimulation and posterior tibial nerve stimulation in the treatment of overactive bladder syndrome. *Gynecol Obstet Invest* 2013;75(1):46-52.
- 29. Zhao J, Bai J, Zhou Y, Qi G, Du, L. Percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation twice a week in female patients with refractory urinary frequency: A preliminary study. *Curr Urol* 2011;5(3): 125-130.
- Onal M, Ugurlucan FG, Yalcin O. The effects of posterior tibial nerve stimulation on refractory overactive bladder syndrome and bladder circulation. *Arch Gynecol Obstet* 2012;286(6):1453-1457.
- 31. Biemans JM, van Balken MR. Efficacy and effectiveness of percutaneous tibial nerve stimulation in the treatment of pelvic organ disorders: a systematic review. *Neuromodulation* 2013;16(1): 25-33.

- 32. Siegel S, Noblett K, Mangel J et al. Results of a prospective, randomized, multicenter study evaluating sacral neuromodulation with InterStim therapy compared to standard medical therapy at 6-months in subjects with mild symptoms of overactive bladder. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2015;34(3):224-230.
- 33. Nuhoğlu B, Fidan V, Ayyildiz A, Ersoy E, Germiyanoğlu C. Stoller afferent nerve stimulation in woman with therapy resistant over active bladder: A 1-year follow up. *Int Urogynecol J Pelvic Floor Dysfunct* 2006;17(3):204-207.
- 34. Staskin DR. Patient-Reported Outcome Assessment. Fourth International Consultation on Incontinence, report of Committee 5, part 5B. Campbell-Walsh Urology 2011;10.
- 35. Sahai A, Dowson C, Khan MS, Dasgupta P. Improvement in quality of life after botulinum toxin-A injections for idiopathic detrusor overactivity: Results from a randomized double-blind placebo-controlled trial. *BJU Int* 2009;103(11):1509-1515.
- 36. Miller JJ, Sand PK. Diagnosis and treatment of overactive bladder. *Minerva Ginecol* 2005;57(5):501-520.
- Bosch JL, Cardozo L, Hashim H, Hilton P, Oelke M, Robinson D. Constructing trials to show whether urodynamic studies are necessary in lower urinary tract dysfunction. *Neurourol Urodyn* 2011;30(5):735-740.
- 38. Cohen BL, Caruso DJ, Kanagarajah P, Gousse AE. Predictors of response to intradetrusor botulinum toxin-A injections in patients with idiopathic overactive bladder. *Adv Urol* 2009: 328364.
- 39. Schmid DM, Sauermann P, Werger M et al. Experience with 100 cases treated with botulinum-A toxin injections in the detrusor muscle for idiopathic overactive bladder syndrome refractory to anticholinergics. *J Urol* 2006;176(11):177-185.
- 40. Okamura K, Nojiri Y, Ameda K et al. Botulinum toxin A submucosal injection for refractory non-neurogenic overactive bladder: early outcomes. *Int J Urol* 2011;18(6):483-487.
- 41. Kessler TM, Khan S, Panicker J, Roosen A, Elneil S, Fowler CJ. Clean intermittent self-catheterization after botulinum neurotoxin type A injections: short-term effect on quality of life. *Obstet Gynecol* 2009;113(5):1046-1051.
- 42. Noblett KL, Jacobs S. Urgency urinary incontinence and overactive bladder. In: Rogers R, ed. Female Pelvic Medicine and Reconstructive Surgery. 1st ed. China: Urgency Urinary Incontinence and Overactive Bladder. 2013:475-485.
- 43. Kuo HC. Intravesical botulinum toxin injection for overactive bladder- what we can learn from previous clinical trials. *TZU CHI MED J* 2009;21(4):277-284.
- 44. Bauer RM, Gratzke C, Roosen A et al. Patient-reported side effects of intradetrusor botulinum toxin type a for idiopathic overactive bladder syndrome. *Urol Int* 2011;86(1):68-72.
- 45. Kuo HC, Liao CH, Chung SD. Adverse events of intravesical botulinum toxin a injections for idiopathic detrusor overactivity: risk factors and influence on treatment outcome. *Eur Urol* 2010; 58(6):919-926.
- 46. Benderev T, Rajguru A, Burnett D. A pilot clinical study to evaluate the initial feasibility of neuromodulation therapy in treating patients with overactive bladder via magnetic stimulation of the posterior tibial nerve. [Podium #29]. Presented at the Society For Urodynamics and Female Urology: Scottsdale, AZ. Friday March 4, 2011].
- 47. Chuang YC, Kaufmann JH, Chancellor DD, Chancellor MB, Kuo HC. Bladder instillation of liposome encapsulated onabotulinumtoxina improves overactive bladder symptoms: a prospective, multicenter, double-blind, randomized trial. *J Urol* 2014;192(6):1743-1749.