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The two major long-term concerns associated with different options for the management of prostate cancer, (including surgery, radiotherapy, brachytherapy, cryotherapy, HIFU, etc.) include difficulties with lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) and/or erectile dysfunction.

LUTS can be in the form of stress urinary incontinence (SUI), urge urinary incontinence (UUI), frequency/urgency, and/or voiding difficulties. While surgery is mostly associated with SUI and radiation mostly results in UUI, there can be an overlap. Incontinence rates after cryotherapy and high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) are generally very low. Voiding difficulties can also happen after the above-mentioned options.

Treatment of SUI can start with pelvic floor muscle exercises (PFME), penile clamps or urethral plugs. If these fail to provide satisfactory results the surgical options could include: urethral bulking agents, male slings, and artificial urinary sphincter (AUS). Surgical options are usually not recommended during the first 6-12 months after radical prostatectomy.

Management of frequency, urgency and/or UUI can also be started with lifestyle modifications and PFME. Oral agents (anticholinergics and β3-agonists) are also considered before proceeding to third line options, such as Botox injection or sacral neuromodulation.

The treatment options for ED resulting from the treatment of prostate cancer can include oral PDE5-I as the first line, local therapy as the second (such as MUSE, intracavernosal injections, and perhaps low intensity shock wave therapy) and finally surgery as the third line. Standard questionnaires and patient reported outcome measurement tools should be used for the assessment of LUTS and erectile dysfunction prior and after initiation of treatment to guide the management.
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Introduction

Management of prostate cancer continues to evolve towards ever more favorable oncologic outcomes. In this context, the patients’ quality of life has become of primary importance as part of their cancer survivorship. Regardless of the treatment modality chosen for prostate cancer (radical prostatectomy, brachytherapy, external radiation therapy, high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU), cryotherapy, etc.), two main complications following treatment include bothersome lower urinary tract symptoms (LUTS) and erectile dysfunction.
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Urinary incontinence

Although it is generally believed that new techniques for nerve-sparing radical prostatectomy (RP) have helped to reduce the incidence of post-prostatectomy urinary incontinence, the reported incontinence rates are widely different and may reach figures as high as 69%, depending on definitions and questionnaires used.1-2 The widely accepted definition of post-prostatectomy incontinence (PPI) is a persistent stress urinary incontinence (SUI) over 1 year after prostatectomy, assuming conservative therapy failure.3 Having said that, SUI is not the only type of incontinence after RP and patients can also experience urge urinary incontinence (UUI). According to latest reports, 29% of patients experience storage symptoms after RP and 6% report urgency urinary incontinence.4
The etiology of post-prostatectomy incontinence (PPI) can be multifactorial. These include mechanisms that affect sphincteric function or those that affect bladder function (resulting in impaired bladder compliance, detrusor over- or underactivity). Among these two, sphincter insufficiency is assumed to be the most important reason for incontinence after RP, resulting in SUI. Many factors such as age or history of transurethral resection of the prostate (TURP), can influence the continence rate after RP.2

Radiotherapy (RT) can also damage bladder wall function through impairment of blood circulation due to endarteritis within the detrusor with subsequent apoptosis and ultimately tissue loss.7 These differences in pathophysiology are reflected in clinical features of LUTS following radiotherapy. In other words, while RP mostly causes SUI (starting in the early period after the operation), urinary symptoms following RT usually manifest as overactive bladder symptoms such as frequency, urgency or urge urinary incontinence (UUI). Brachytherapy can also cause LUTS. One large study of 2461 men after brachytherapy with or without external radiation showed that during 6.4 years of follow up, the incidence of UUI was about three times the incidence of SUI.4 Patients who have received RT can also experience bladder outlet obstruction with symptoms such as hesitancy, weak urinary stream and intermittency. These can progress until 5 years after external radiotherapy or brachytherapy.29 Later complications of RT can include urethral strictures, leading to urinary retention, and hematuria due to radiation cystitis.

Incontinence rates after cryotherapy and high intensity focused ultrasound (HIFU) are generally low and mostly depend on the volume of ablation (focal versus whole gland ablation). According to the report from the national Cryo On-Line Database (COLD) registry which contained information on 5853 patients, the rate of urinary incontinence after cryotherapy is 1.6% for focal ablation and 3.1 for whole gland ablation.10 Similar degree of incontinence after HIFU was reported by several studies.11,12

Treatment of urinary incontinence depends on its clinical appearance (SUI versus UUI and OAB symptoms), regardless of prostate cancer treatment modality. The treatment options for SUI can be generally divided into two categories of conservative versus surgical options. Conservative methods such as pelvic floor muscle exercises, pad use, penile clamps or urethral plugs are considered the first line of treatment. If these fail to provide satisfactory results the surgical options could include: urethral bulking agents, male slings, and artificial urinary sphincter (AUS). Surgical options are usually not recommended during the first 6-12 months after RP, to allow for spontaneous recovery and maximum improvement of continence. Following the initial period, repeated assessment of incontinence severity helps to make a decision and to choose a certain type of surgical option. While urethral bulking agents and sling operations are suitable for mild to moderate cases of SUI, the AUS is recommended for more severe incontinence. Both pelvic floor muscle exercises and pharmacotherapy can be considered for overactive bladder (OAB) symptoms including UUI.

Pelvic floor muscle exercise

Pelvic floor muscle training (PFMT) is defined as “any program of repeated voluntary PFM contractions taught by a health-care professional.” It is well understood by urologists that PFMT improves urethral stability and increases urethral closure pressure, which in turn helps with the improvement of SUI. Interestingly this is a treatment modality that can also improve OAB symptoms, including UUI, by inhibiting involuntary detrusor contractions (IBC). Patients may undergo office biofeedback or be referred to a physiotherapist who specializes in the pelvic floor. After providing appropriate instructions, patients can continue with PFME without any medical assistance. Unfortunately not everyone responds to PFMT.13 According to the recent Cochrane report of 2736 patients treated by PFME for post-prostatectomy incontinence, there was only moderate evidence for an overall benefit from PFMEs compared with the control group.14 Another interesting conclusion was obtained in a recent meta-analysis of PFME programs. The relative risk of continence in the PFME group versus control group was 2.16 at 3 months postoperatively. While at 12 months postoperatively this rate was reduced to 1.23. This indicates that PFME during the first year only helps to reach the maximum possible improvements faster.15

Penile compression device (penile clamp) for SUI

During the first 6-12 months after RP, or in patients who are not willing to have another surgery for correction of their SUI, or those who are not fit for additional operations penile compression devices are suitable options. They are available in different designs and sizes and can be purchased anonymously. The clamp is placed around the penis and mechanically compresses the urethra. Use of penile clamps help...
to reduce the Incontinence Impact Questionnaire scores. Although none of them completely eliminated urine loss, the devices are well tolerated and improve patients’ confidence and tolerance of physical activity. However, complications such as pain, urethral erosion, obstruction, and edema have been reported with long term use. These devices should be used only in men who have normal penile skin and who have sufficient cognitive function and dexterity to open and close the device. Also, the patients have to be instructed to remove the clamp in regular (2-3 hourly) intervals to empty the bladder and restore blood flow in the penis. An alternative containment strategy includes the use of condom catheters. A specially designed condom with inner adhesive may be rolled onto a flaccid penis and the open end can drain into tubing connected to a leg bag.

Pharmacotherapy

Although it is generally believed that urinary incontinence after RP is a result of damage to the urinary sphincter mechanism and no medications have proven to restore this function, there is evidence of additional lower urinary tract disorders, which may play at least a small part in incontinence. Those include impaired compliance and detrusor overactivity (DO). The rationale of pharmacotherapy is based on improving these disorders. Antimuscarinic drugs, β3 agonists and duloxetine have been proposed as medical treatments for these scenarios.

Antimuscarinic and β3 agonists medication, are known as the second-line treatment for DO after PFMT, and may also be used in mixed urinary incontinence. The literature search identified a limited number of studies regarding the use of antimuscarinic medications after prostate cancer treatment; however, one can assume these medications to be also effective in treating OAB symptoms after prostate cancer treatment. The largest randomized double-blind study was in 640 patients. Patients were randomized to solifenacin 5 mg daily or placebo for 12 weeks in an early post-prostatectomy period. In results, the continence rate was 29% in the treatment group versus 21% in the placebo group. Mirabegron (Myrbetriq) is a β3 agonist, with efficacy similar to antimuscarinics but with fewer side effects. There is no data available on its use in the post-prostate cancer population. Duloxetine is a serotonin and norepinephrine reuptake inhibitor with influence on Onuf’s nucleus in the sacral spinal cord. It provides stimulation of the pudendal nerve, increasing tonus of urethral sphincter and relaxing the detrusor muscle. Duloxetine has been mostly studied in treatment of female stress incontinence. According to most studies where duloxetine was investigated as a treatment option for post RP incontinence during the first 12 months, continence rates were similar to PFME or showed minimal additional effect. The most common reported side effects of duloxetine are fatigue, dry mouth, nausea, and constipation with controversial reports about discontinuation rates.

In conclusion, there is not enough evidence to recommend the use of these medications as a standard treatment of post-prostate cancer treatment incontinence.

Surgical treatment (for SUI, frequency/urgency, and/or UUI)

When conservative treatment fails, surgery is still the treatment of choice, although there is no accepted guideline on when surgical treatment should be performed. Currently artificial urinary sphincter (AUS) is considered as the gold standard treatment for patients suffering from post-prostate cancer treatment incontinence. This is based on multiple studies showing acceptable long-term success rates among the other options. Other options, such as bulking agents and male slings can be applied as less invasive alternatives in selected patients. The most important factor for choosing among these surgical options is the severity of incontinence. In order to determine the degree of incontinence, some authors suggest using pad weight test, so-called 24 h pad test, to determine the degree of incontinence. To make the right decision about surgical treatment options, it has been generally accepted to divide the incontinence into mild (< 100 gm/24 hours), moderate (100-400 gm/24 hours) and severe (> 400 gm/24 hours). However, variation in activity level can lead to significant differences in 24 hour pad weights from one day to another and that is why many physicians refused the test and continue to rely on the patient’s description of pad number and wetness. Indeed, the size and type of pad and frequency of pad exchanging may be variable, but this information, received from the patient, helps to recognize his perception of the severity of incontinence. For example, if a patient uses several large pads or diapers, which are always wet, that may indicate severe incontinence. In contrast, wearing one or a few small pads per day can be classified as mild or mild-moderate incontinence. In a recent US national database study of 1246 patients who were operated upon due to SUI, it was shown that 34.9% of patients received an AUS, 36.4% were treated with urethral slings, and 28.7% received a urethral bulking agent.
To date, the AMS 800 is the most commonly used artificial urinary sphincter (Boston Scientific, Marlborough, MA, USA). This AUS is made up of three parts: urethral cuff which wraps around the urethra to control the flow of urine; a pump which is placed in the scrotum and helps to move fluid into or away from the urethral cuff; and a balloon or reservoir which holds the fluid when the urethral cuff is deflated, which is placed beneath abdominal muscles. Reported continence rates vary between different studies from 55% to 86%. These disparities resulted from lack of universal definition of treatment success as well as a different number of patients with negative predictive features such as radiation or cryotherapy as an etiology of incontinence. Despite high rates of patient satisfaction, it has a risk of unique AUS complications including the risk of infection (up to 16%), urethral erosion (up to 13%) and mechanical failure (up to 6.5%).

Another type of inflatable continence devices called ProACT consists of two silicone balloons on the proximal end and a titanium port in the distal end. The two balloons are implanted just below the bladder neck, one on each side, through a trans-cutaneous rectal ultrasound guide. The balloons can be inflated or deflated to compress the urethral lumen just below the bladder neck. The technical ease of insertion and the lack of circumferential urethral dissection are proposed advantages of ProACT device. Despite the initial high cure rate, more than a third of patients were dissatisfied with the surgical outcome in the long term. In one long term study, it has been found that only 45% of patients remained satisfied with ProACT device at a median follow up of 57 months. However, given its minimally invasive nature, this device may provide some benefit for additional improvement of continence in case of persistent or recurrent incontinence after sling implantation. Common complications of ProACT device include balloon migration, pain, infection, and recurrent incontinence.

Several types of bulking agents have been proposed for SUI, such as macroplastique, collagen, bulkamide hydrogel and dextranomer/hyaluronic acid copolymer. In the case of post-prostate cancer treatment incontinence, they are injected submucosally in the anastomosis region in an attempt to enhance coaptation of the urethra. In general, these agents have been shown to have low and short lasting effects and recommended in very certain scenarios. One of these indications is recurrent or persistent incontinence after male sling operations. In this case, 80% of men required no further treatment for PPI. Given its low invasive nature, only low-grade complications were reported in 10% of patients.

Surgical options for the management of OAB symptoms could include botox injection or sacral neuromodulation. The details of these options are out of scope of this article.
Erectile dysfunction

Erectile dysfunction (ED) after treatment of prostate cancer is a significant quality of life problem for patients and their healthcare providers.

One of the “gold standard” treatment options for localized prostate cancer is RP, which has established long term oncologic benefits. ED is a common side effect of the surgery, and given the trend towards being younger at the time of diagnosis and treatment with excellent survival rates, ED becomes a primary concern after RP for many men. The literature reports have a wide variation in erectile function recovery (EFR) rate following RP. In one previous meta-analysis of 22 relevant studies, the rate of EFR ranged from 25% to 78% in an 18 month follow up period after RP. Open RP and traditional laparoscopic RP had similar EFR (57% versus 58%), while robot-assisted RP resulted in a higher EFR rate, 73% compared with these other approaches. Patients < 60 years old had a higher EFR rate than patients ≥ 60 years, with EFR being 77% versus 61% respectively. In a more recent study, the authors used more strict definitions of ED and assessed the number of patients who returned to having baseline erections after RP during 24 months without the use of any medications for ED and compared the results before and after RP. They found that only 22% of patients returned to their baseline erectile function (EF) without the use of medication. Of note, only 4% of men who were ≥ 60 years of age with functional erections prior to surgery achieved their baseline EF without the use of medication.

The introduction of robotic surgery has led to further evolution of the RP technique. This allows for more precise identification of the periprostatic fascia, thus providing a higher degree of preservation of the periprostatic neurovascular tissue. While most studies have shown a higher EFR rate in robotic surgery, a recent study from a high-volume center, has shown that EFR has not changed over the last decade. With the recovery rates during the last decade being 27% and 34% at 1 and 2 year post-RP respectively.

The second common type of prostate cancer treatment is radiation therapy (RT), which can be external or internal (brachytherapy) radiation with different modalities and radiation dose rates. In contrast to radical prostatectomy, where ED is evident soon after the operation, radiation-based treatments lead to slowly declining EF over 1 to 3 years. Survival rates of prostate cancer patients are high and within 3-5 years of completing treatment, approximately one-half of these patients will develop ED. There are several new techniques for external RT that allow for the delivery of higher doses of radiation with better cancer control rates and fewer side effects, such as intensity-modulated radiation therapy (IMRT) or stereotactic radiation therapy. However, according to several reports, EFR rates were not much different from rates following different types of external RT or brachytherapy.

In brief, erection is achieved through five phases: initial filling, partial erection, full erection, rigid erection, and return to flaccid state. Psychological or physical sexual stimulation leads to smooth muscle relaxation of the arteries, which allows an increase in blood flow to the corpora cavernosa. Full erection occurs when full rigidity is obtained. During a return to a flaccid state, muscle contractions result in the increased venous outflow and decreasing penile length and girth. Supposed mechanisms of ED after RP or RT rely on neuronal and vascular damage, which can lead to ED through smooth muscle atrophy of the corpora cavernosa, similar to other muscles that atrophy when they are unused. Both in-vitro and in-vivo studies support the theory that penile hypoxia results in collagen accumulation, smooth-muscle apoptosis and ultimately cavernosal fibrosis. Finally, these changes within the corpus cavernosum contribute to venous leakage and permanent ED, even if the normal function of the nerves return.

Ablative therapy (whole gland or focal) was introduced with the hope of avoiding some of the adverse effects of radical therapy including ED, bladder or bowel dysfunction and urinary incontinence. Ablative therapies refer to a group of minimally invasive modalities, which aim for either total, subtotal or focal ablation (or destruction) of the prostate gland. Currently, apart from cryotherapy and HIFU, which have been investigated within the context of clinical trials, none of the others have been used in daily practice. Cryotherapy was one of the first ablative techniques to be introduced. It induces cell lysis by cooling tissues down to –40°C. Autonomic dysfunction occurs if the nearby neurovascular tissue reaches 20°C, which may explain the high rates of ED observed after cryotherapy. With HIFU, focused ultrasound energy results in tissue ablation via thermal coagulation, necrosis, and acoustic cavitation. It has the potential of more precise ablation than cryotherapy but many men report ED nevertheless.

In a study that compared cryotherapy with HIFU in cases of whole gland ablation in patients with good pretreatment EF, there was a significant fall in International Index of Erectile Function (IIEF-5) in
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both groups at 6 months. The fall from baseline was statistically greater for whole gland cryotherapy than whole gland HIFU at all time follow up points. There was a minimal improvement from the initial fall in IIEF-5 during the 24 months for both modalities.\textsuperscript{12} On the other hand, focal ablation has a less detrimental effect on EF.\textsuperscript{49} Interestingly, in one non-randomized comparative study, the whole gland HIFU was found to be associated with better EF than both focal and whole gland cryotherapy.\textsuperscript{12} In a recent meta-analysis of ablative therapy outcomes, five cryotherapy studies and only two HIFU studies provided information on ED. In cases of cryotherapy, the data showed a lower rate of ED compared to those receiving RP at 1 year, but the difference was not statistically significant. However, analysis of the above mentioned HIFU studies showed a statistically less ED following HIFU comparing to RP.\textsuperscript{46}

The treatment options for ED in post-prostate cancer treatment patients are not different from the options for common ED. Traditional three lines of recommended treatment can be applied in most cases. These include oral therapy as the first line, local therapy as second and operative treatment as the third line.\textsuperscript{50}

Integral to the discussion on ED treatment is an understanding of how EF is assessed. Although several validated questionnaires have been developed specifically to assess the EF after prostate cancer treatment, regular International Index of Erectile, Function (IIEF) test and its variation are possible and effective to use for a quick assessment both before and after treatment, due to their simplicity and familiarity to general care practitioners. IIEF-5, also named Sexual Health Inventory For Men (SHIM) is an abbreviated version of the IIEF consisting of 5 questions, which is easier to implement in the clinical setting than the full version of the IIEF.\textsuperscript{51} Generally, a score > 21 is considered to represent a normal EF.

Given pathophysiology of ED, the treatment strategy aims to improve oxygenation of cavernosal tissue and prevent structural changes by providing better blood supply. Thus, it has been proposed that using pharmacological or mechanical treatment for ED before, during and after prostate cancer treatment will improve blood supply and prevent cavernosal fibrosis. This concept, also named as “penile rehabilitation” or “erectile function rehabilitation”, has been developed to specifically treat ED following radical prostatectomy, but can be applied to other prostate cancer treatment approaches too. Despite this, no official definition or widely accepted treatment plan has been established.\textsuperscript{45}

A variety of treatment regimens have been introduced as penile rehabilitation strategies using PDE5i. According to the American Urological Association (AUA) meta-analyses that compared several penile rehabilitation regimens, including PDE5i and placebo among men who had RP indicate no difference in rates of restored EF between groups. In addition, early administration of PDE5i does not improve later responses to these medications compared to early administration of placebo.

A new useful algorithm to care for sexual dysfunction following prostate cancer treatment was recently developed by Canadian men’s sexual health experts and published in Canadian Urological Association journal in December 2018.\textsuperscript{52} This algorithm was based on a complex approach, which may be tailored to the individual patient (and partner) presentation. The baseline recommendations for all patients are attempts to perform a regular sexual activity (at least once a week) and involve the sexual partner in the treatment process. The algorithm divides into three sections. The first section includes recommendations for using PDE5i, ICI, MUSE or VED. Choosing certain conservative options depends on the type of prostate cancer treatment received (radiation versus surgery with different levels of cavernous nerve sparing), followed by the desired level of invasiveness (a mechanical device, medication or intracavernous injection).\textsuperscript{52} Surgical options including rigid or inflatable penile prosthesis, are recommended as the final treatment line. These are usually not recommended during the early post-surgical phases to allow for natural recovery.\textsuperscript{50}

The second section of the algorithm provides treatment recommendations according to time: pre- or post-prostate cancer treatment and according to patient goals for erectile recovery (long term versus short term). The third section of the algorithm is based on providing patients with an expected erection recovery timeline. This is intended to help patients realize the real time of the recovery process. Thus, even using pharmaceutical mechanical tools for ED after RP, some early recovery of mild to moderate erection is expected within 4 months after the operation in less than 10% of patients.\textsuperscript{52}

Conclusion

In conclusion, similar to common ED, management of post-prostate cancer treatment ED can be initiated by general physicians by starting oral therapy and referring the patient to the urologist in refractory cases for a second and third line therapy. In such model, general physicians, using the algorithm suggested by Canadian men’s sexual health experts, can start the “rehabilitation treatment” and, given longstanding relationships with the patient they can provide an important therapeutic impact that eventually improves clinical results.
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